

COST OF POOR QUALITY IMPACT ANALYSIS

DIGITIZING PLANT FLOOR AUDITS IN AUTOMOTIVE MANUFACTURING



Cost of Poor Quality Impact Analysis

Digitizing Plant Floor Audits in Automotive Manufacturing

Digitizing plant floor audits directly impacts Cost of Poor Quality (CoPQ) in multiple ways—by reducing actual quality-related costs and by making hidden costs more visible so they can be addressed. This comprehensive analysis examines the impact across all CoPQ categories.

1. Internal Failure Costs

Scrap, Rework, Downtime

Impact of Digitization in Automotive Plants:

- **Faster issue detection:** Operators and supervisors receive real-time feedback from digital checklists and dashboards—no waiting for paper forms to be reviewed at end of shift. Torque tool failures, weld quality issues, or dimensional mismatches are flagged immediately.
- **Quicker response and containment:** As soon as an issue is logged, alerts can be triggered and escalation workflows initiated. This reduces the number of defective parts continuing to paint, assembly, or shipping.
- Reduced rework and scrap: Immediate visibility into quality issues prevents continuation of faulty operations across hundreds of parts. Early detection can save significant scrap and repair labor.
- Reduced line stoppages: Real-time data identifies early indicators of process drift before escalation. Digital audits enable feedback loops to prevent major disruptions.
- Improved accountability and traceability: Tracks which operator, shift, and workstation produced a defect—supporting root cause analysis and countermeasures without relying on paper trails.



Example: Automotive resistance weld cell

A digital audit detects inconsistent current readings during the first 30 minutes of Shift A. The issue is flagged instantly, maintenance responds, and production is corrected within 20 units—avoiding rework or scrap of hundreds of chassis components.

2. External Failure Costs

Warranty Claims, Customer Returns, Penalties

Impact of Digitization in Automotive Quality Operations:

- Preventing defects before shipment: Real-time checklist validation ensures thorough final inspections for torque specs, labels, and finish critical for OEM-bound parts.
- Lower customer escape rates: Digital quality loops reduce launch issues, field failures, and rejections—improving customer satisfaction and sourcing potential.
- **Better root cause traceability:** Time-stamped digital records link each part to audit results, notes, and photos—shortening investigation cycles.
- **Stronger compliance & lower penalty risk:** Provides auditable evidence to reduce risk of chargebacks, penalties, or supplier downgrades.
- Improved customer perception: Demonstrates investment in continuous improvement—supporting supplier scorecards and bids.

Example: Tier 1 interior trim supplier

A final-layer audit detects torque inconsistencies. Investigation reveals a worn tool, corrected before 20 dashboards are built—preventing costly warranty returns and OEM issues.



3. Quality Auditing Costs

Inspecting, Scheduling, Auditing, Reporting

Impact of Digitization in Automotive Quality Management:

- **Audit time reduction:** Tablets/phones replace paper, saving time and ensuring completeness in real time.
- **Streamlined workflows:** Audits are auto-distributed based on process risk, improving coverage and efficiency.
- **Automated reporting & analysis:** Real-time results support faster daily/weekly reviews—saving hours per plant.
- **More value-added auditor time:** Admin tasks are reduced, allowing more coaching and process observation.
- **Better audit compliance:** Digital schedules, notifications, and escalations ensure audits are completed.
- **Fewer documentation errors:** Required fields and digital validation remove issues with handwriting and signatures.

Example: Tier 2 supplier Layered Process Audit

Switching from paper to digital saves over 600 labor hours annually. Audit time is reduced from 45 to 15 minutes, and auto-reports support faster decision-making.

4. Process Improvement Costs

Training, Preventive Actions

Impact of Digitization in Automotive Quality Systems:

- **Visibility into systemic issues:** Digital audits show cross-shift/station trends—highlighting training and equipment gaps.
- **Justifies proactive investment:** Audit trends support funding for new tools, training, or workstation redesign.



- **Built-in learning loops:** Digital checklists embed training links and visuals, creating real-time learning moments.
- **Faster rollout of corrective actions:** Updated checklists or SOPs can be pushed to all affected operators instantly.
- **Improved preventive maintenance:** Quality trends flag early equipment degradation before major failures occur.
- **Better training effectiveness tracking:** Retraining results can be measured by reductions in audit failures over time.

Example: Automotive seat frame supplier

A spike in weld nut alignment issues is traced to new operators. Targeted retraining and revised instructions reduce audit failures by 90%—preventing scrap and warranty issues.

Summary Impact of Digitization

| CoPQ Area | Effect of Digitized Audits |
|------------------------------|--|
| Internal Failures | \downarrow Scrap, \downarrow Rework, \downarrow Downtime |
| External Failures | \downarrow Warranty costs, \downarrow Customer penalties |
| Appraisal Costs | ↓ Audit time, ↓ Inspection labor |
| Process Improvement Costs | ↑ ROI on training, ↑ Targeted prevention actions |



Financial Analysis: Return on Investment

Digitizing Plant Floor Audits – Automotive Manufacturing Facility

1. Input Parameters

| Parameter | Value | Units |
|--------------------------------|-----------|---------------|
| Annual Production Volume | 2,500,000 | units |
| Current Defect Rate | 1,500 | PPM (0.15%) |
| Average Cost per Defect | \$275 | USD |
| Current Audit Labor Hours | 60 | hours/week |
| Average Labor Rate | \$65 | USD/hour |
| Manual Reporting Time | 10 | hours/week |
| Current Escape Rate | 10% | % of defects |
| Projected Escape Reduction | 60% | % improvement |
| Projected Audit Time Reduction | 30% | % improvement |

2. Failure Cost Analysis

| Cost Component | Calculation | Annual Impact |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Total Annual Defects | 2,500,000 × 0.15% | 3,750 defects |
| Total Defect Cost | 3,750 × \$275 | \$1,031,250 |
| Escaping Defect Cost | \$1,031,250 × 10% | \$103,125 |
| Savings from Escape Reduction | 60% of \$103,125 | \$61,875 |

3. Labor & Operational Savings

| Cost Component | Calculation | Annual Impact |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| Audit Labor Cost | 60 hrs/week × 52 × \$65 | \$202,800 |
| Audit Time Savings | \$202,800 × 30% | \$60,840 |
| Manual Reporting Cost | 10 hrs/week × 52 × \$65 | \$33,800 |



| Cost Component | Calculation | Annual Impact |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Reporting Time Savings | \$33,800 × 80% | \$27,040 |
| Total Labor & Operational Savings | | \$87,880 |

4. Summary & ROI

| Financial Metric | Value | Notes |
|---------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|
| Reduced Escape Costs | \$61,875 | Annual savings |
| Reduced Labor/Operational Costs | \$87,880 | Annual savings |
| Total Annual Savings | \$149,755 | Combined benefit |
| Estimated Software Cost | \$6,000 | Annual SaaS license |
| Net Annual Benefit | \$143,755 | Savings minus cost |
| Return on Investment (ROI) | 2,329% | ROI = (Benefit / Cost) × 100 |
| Payback Period | 2.0 Weeks | \$6,000 ÷ (\$149,755 / 52) |

Key Takeaway

Digitizing audits transforms quality from <u>reactive to proactive.</u>

You catch issues earlier, spend less on failures, and improve both audit efficiency and systemic problem-solving.